## Exhibit J

1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA	
2	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
3		
4	MARLIN LEWIS EAGLES and Case No. 22CV018294	
	GEORGIA EAGLES,	
5		
	Plaintiffs,	
6		
	vs.	
7		
	ARVINMERITOR, INC., et al.,	
8		
	Defendants.	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	VIDEOTAPED VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF	
14	WILLIAM LONGO, PH.D.	
15	Suwanee, Georgia	
16	Monday, October 23, 2023	
17	Volume 2	
18		
19		
20		
21	Reported by:	
	LESLIE JOHNSON	
22	RPR, CCRR, CSR No. 11451	
23	Job No.: 6167398	
24	PAGES 166 - 281	
25		
	Page 166	

1	A I'm with you.	12:39:19
2	Q I just want to first just talk about what	
3	talc itself should look like in 1.550 oil. Okay.	
4	A Sure.	
5	Q And so this says for the RI parallel to	12:39:35
6	the fiber lengths we're talking about parallel	
7	talc fibers have a range of 1,589 to 1,600 resulting	
8	in a pale yellow dispersion color when immersed in	
9	1.550 RI liquid.	
10	First of all, is that correct?	12:40:00
11	A Yeah. You can have a range like that.	
12	Sometimes a little bit lower. Sometimes higher.	
13	But that's I don't argue with that.	
14	Q But, typically, talc elongated talc in	
15	parallel should be a pale yellow, and the range they	12:40:14
16	give here is 1,589 to 1,600, right?	
17	A That's what they give.	
18	(Exhibit 25 marked for identification.)	
19	BY MR. DUBIN:	
20	Q Okay. And just so we can see something	12:40:25
21	about talc in general, not just talc fiber, I'll	
22	mark as the next exhibit in order, which will be 25,	
23	just the IARC 2010 monograph on talc. And if we	
24	could call that up and go to page 289.	
25	No. It should be 1.13.	12:41:11
		Page 245

1	you can identify any talc plates. We can take the	12:58:16
2	one that's up slightly to the right of the one you	
3	just called chrysotile. What color would you call	
4	that for purposes of determining its refractive	
5	index?	12:58:28
6	A That would be somewhere in the 1.563 to	
7	1.566, 67. Somewhere around there.	
8	Q Remind me what color that corresponds to.	
9	A What you see there.	
10	Q So are you calling it red? Are you	12:58:43
11	calling it golden yellow? What color are you	
12	calling it? I want to know what you see in that	
13	particle.	
14	A I see sort of a goldish-yellow and some	
15	red on the outside. I don't typically, you want	12:58:59
16	to take the very end, but this is a particle plate,	
17	since it's not fibrous. And we do have some single	
18	fibers in there, but they're too small to really	
19	resolve. And that's what I would call it, based on	
20	just that photograph.	12:59:19
21	Q So I'm just saying, I think one of the	
22	things you told me before is that when you do this	
23	analysis, you're basing it on what color it is at	
24	the edge of the particle, right?	
25	A With our new microscope, yes, we are,	12:59:31
		Page 256

1	because we have better resolution. Here we have	12:59:33
2	every particle has some of the red around it. And I	
3	don't know if that's just an artifact or not. But	
4	I'm just you asked me, and I'm telling you.	
5	Q Okay. I understand. So but your view	12:59:49
6	the red you agree the red on these pictures may	
7	be an artifact, right?	
8	A Maybe. I don't know. I'd have to focus	
9	in on it to see if we you know, the focus is off,	
10	et cetera. So I'm giving you my best estimate based	01:00:04
11	on the photograph.	
12	Q Okay. And so at some point you changed	
13	microscope, as I understand it, and no longer have	
14	the tungsten lightbulb, right?	
15	A It's LED.	01:00:24
16	Q Now, I want to ask you about so before	
17	you changed, did you have various different PLMs	
18	before that, some of which had tungsten lightbulbs,	
19	some of which didn't, or did they all have a	
20	tungsten lightbulb?	01:00:44
21	A We had 3D exact same microscope.	
22	Q Okay. All with the tungsten lightbulb?	
23	A Older old PLM microscopes.	
24	Q Okay. So I want to mark next, which,	
25	unless Joe corrects me again, will be 28.	01:00:59
		Page 257

1	Valadez report.	01:08:23
2	MR. DUBIN: And, Jake, that's Tab 55, if	
3	we want to call it out.	
4	MR. SATTERLEY: Exhibit 30?	
5	MR. DUBIN: That will be Exhibit 30.	01:08:36
6	(Exhibit 30 marked for identification.)	
7	MR. DUBIN: And, if we can go to page 32.	
8	Sorry. Is this the Valadez report, Jake,	
9	Tab 55? And then page 32? It should have images.	
10	MR. KEESTER: Is it this one?	01:09:23
11	MR. DUBIN: Yeah. Let's rotate it.	
12	BY MR. DUBIN:	
13	Q So I asked you about this particle before,	
14	and I think you well, let me just ask you. What	
15	color were you calling this?	01:09:46
16	A A brownish-gold I guess. More on the	
17	brownish side, brownish-gold, which what we're	
18	seeing in the 1.560.	
19	Q So this is M71614-001CSM-001 chrysotile.	
20	Okay?	01:10:04
21	MR. DUBIN: And let's go to page 37 above	
22	this. Scroll down because it should have the	
23	correct image. Let's go down. Okay. Let's flip	
24	that. Scroll that down.	
25	/ / / /	
		Page 263

## 1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Leslie Johnson, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify: 4 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place herein set forth; that 6 7 any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that a record of 8 9 the proceedings was made by me using machine shorthand 10 which was thereafter transcribed under my direction; 11 that the foregoing transcript is a true record of the testimony given. 12 13 Further, that if the foregoing pertains to the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal 14 15 Case, before completion of the proceedings, review of the transcript [ ] was [ ] was not requested. 16 17 I further certify I am neither financially interested in 18 the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or 19 any party to this action. 2.0 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 21 subscribed my name. 22 Dated: October 24, 2023 deslie Johnson 23 24 LESLIE JOHNSON 25 CSR No. 11451, RPR, CCRR Page 278